





Institut für Landtechnik

Authors:

Andreas Gronauer

Silvio Kosutic

Igor Kovacev

Fabrizzio Mazetto

Heinz Bernhard

Lessons from the past



- Low participation of AgEng Session at CASEE-conference -> how to intensify attraction for young scientists?
- Presentation of papers at CASEE-conference which were selected at ATAE-Symposium in Feb/March not suitable because scientist are not interested to present a duplicate and to to spend resources for that
- abstracts selection of full papers by local persons (transparency missing) -> Selection by an official board of reviewers?
- non synchronized abstracts submission for ATAE Section due to time gap between this two events. -> changes in procedure

Suggestion: ATAE - CASEE AgEng (1)



changes in procedures:

 2-year interval of ATAE-Symposium End Feb/March, starting from year 2021 (cycle ATAE: 2019, 2021, 2023, ...);

 2 year interval of full time AG-Eng-Session in CASEE Conference starting from year 2020 (cycle ATAE 2020, 2022, 2024, ...)

Suggestion: ATAE - CASEE AgEng (2)



changes in procedures:

 During ATAE-Symposium: max. 2 x 30 min presentations presenting the main results from previous CASEE conference at following ATAE symposium in plenary session

 During CASEE conference: max. 2 x 30 min presentations presenting the main results from previous ATAE symposium at following CASEE conference in plenary session

Suggestion: ATAE - CASEE AgEng (2)



- From both Conference and Symposium AgEng-papers are selected for special issue "Ag Eng" in journal "Bodenkultur" starting first issue last issue in 2019
 - 1 selection ATAE-conference:
 - 2 selection CASEE-conference:
 - 3 selection special issue AgEng in Bodenkultur:

• list of reviewers: A, D, I, Hr, Srb, Ro, + further colleagues are very wellcome!

Suggestion: ATAE - CASEE AgEng (2)



criteria to choose paper for Bodenkultur:

- procedure of choosing material of sp. issue of Bodenkultur:
- ATAE and CASEE AgEng-abstracts are reviewed by 2 reviewers
- ATAE and CASEE AgEng-proceedings are reviewed by 2 reviewers
- ATAE and CASEE AgEng-presentations + the previous reviews are evaluated by the scientific board of AgEng editors
- Outstanding presentations will by nominated for publishing in special issue AgEng of "Die Bodenkultur"
- The review for publishing in "Die Bodenkultur" has to be done by other reviewers than in previews process by the scientific board of AgEng Editors

Suggestion: expected benefit



—> This step-by-step QM/QC approach meets the strict requirements for a positively developing evaluation/ranking list of a scientific journal

—> young scientist will be attract to attend and to publish their work at ATAE and CASEE:

—> Too much strain on the organization and time expenditure as well as a significantly improved attractiveness of both events can be achieved.